1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT RECEIVED 2 3 Civil Action No. 08-342 MAY - 7 2009 4 JOEL SCHNEWER 5 U.S. Magistrate Judge In Regard to the Matter of: 6 OPINION/REPORT Bayside State Prison 7 Litigation OF THE SPECIAL MASTER EDWIN TORRES 8 9 -vs-10 WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al, 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 15 16 THURSDAY, APRIL 16, 2009 17 18 19 20 21 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER 22 23 24 25

Transcript of proceedings in the above matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza, Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 11:56 AM. MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC. Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing 251 South White Horse Pike Audubon, New Jersey 08106 856-546-1100

1																														
2	A	P	P	E	A	. F	ŧ	A	N		C	1	E	8	3 :															
3																														
4				L · B		GF											-											υI	RE	Ξ
5				3	30	N	ſΑ	RF	Œ	T		S'	r F	₹ [C E	Ţ											~			
6																								ΙF	. E	S				
7																														
8				R	os	ΕI	ιL	Ι	&		Ġ.	R.	ΙF	3 0	3 E	ĿĹ	,		P	С										
9				В	Υ:			ΑF					ŞE	2 J	Į	Ι	,		Ę	S	Q	U :	IJ	R E	Ξ					
10				B 1	Y: 33	7	K S	E N T F	И Т	E	T	H H	V I (∛ . 3 F	I W	L IA	O Y	Z	I 3	E 3	R		I	Ξ \$	5 () U	I	RΕ		
11				H.	AM 09	II -5	T 58	6 - 6 -	1 - 2	s 2	Q 5	Ծ 2 7	ΑF	R F	Ċ,		N	Ε	W		J	El	R:	SE	S 7	<u>′</u>	1	8 0	69	90
12				A	ΤТ	OF	Ν	ΕΥ	S		F	O I	R]	ГΗ	Œ		D	Ε	F	E	N I	DZ	41	13	S				
13																														
14																														
15																														
16																														
17																														
18																														
19																														
20																														
21																														
22																														
23																														
25																														

JUDGE BISSELL: I'm now reopening proceedings in the case of Edwin Torres, docket number 08-342.

This opinion/report is being issued pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference to a Special Master and the Special Master's Agreement and the guiding principles of law which underlie this decision to be applied to the facts upon which it is based as set forth in the jury instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. Torres.

As finalized after review under Local Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the written report required under paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a Special Master.

Mr. Torres was in F Unit, second tier, on the 30th of July, 1997, the date of Officer

Baker's murder. He testifies and I so find that in the afternoon of that date the SOG officers came to his facility for the purpose of removing people from the cells and taking them to the gym.

He testifies he was hit in the head when told to hurry up and get undressed fast. He couldn't see who hit him in the head from behind, it

felt like something real hard, like a piece of metal. It made him move toward the wall, it made him dizzy, possibly even pass out. He did, however, indicate that there was no breaking of the skin, that it had swelled up somewhat that night and the next day.

He testifies later that he was escorted to the gym, handcuffed coming and going from the gym. That appears to be the only incident of assault on that date.

He testifies, however, that on the next day, the 31st of July, a bag lunch was brought to his cell by SOGs, that his lunch was spit on, stomped on and kicked into his cell whereupon one of the people called him a Spic and said we've got something for you.

He testified further that some ten minutes later four to five of these officers who were SOGs with ski-type masks over their faces came into his cell. They told him to get up and face the window, kicked him and punched him, he fell to the ground, he lost consciousness to some extent despite the fact that he had not disobeyed any orders and had no charges eventually filed against him.

He testified that as a result of this injuries to his left leg and the left part of his

back ensued.

There is evidence that indeed in the months and years that followed he did have difficulty with back pain and that at least when transferred to Rahway, he sought some medical attention. He also received at Southwoods some treatments including hot packs and treatments on his leg.

He testifies also that in ensuing time periods while still in the prison setting he was given a cane, a back brace and a knee brace. These treatments helped, but did not obviate the situation.

There was also some evidence presented that in the course of the assaults upon him he received some sort of an eye injury.

Exhibits D-73 and D-74. Now, it is not entirely clear whether D-74 was an attachment to his Administrative Remedy Form D-73. The record was confused and, in fact, the witness was somewhat confused about that. But there is no question that D-74 was generated by him and in its closing paragraph he states that as of December 31, 2000, I am receiving physical therapy treatments at Riverfront State Prison, et cetera. So that D-74 obviously was authored no earlier than the 31st of

December, 2000, but still relatively contemporaneous with the events in question.

I note that D-74 contains a lengthy recitation of the events which he alleged occurred to him on the 30th and 31st of July, 1997 and that it's consistent with his testimony here before me in these proceedings.

Mr. Torres even went so far as to testify about some doctor's advice at East Jersey that a disk had been fractured. However, the medical records which were presented here do not confirm anything that severe and I make no finding of a fractured disk. Although as I mentioned before, there is evidence to support some continuing back and leg pain.

P-36 was also introduced into evidence. This is a collection of medical records, most of which are appended to what is called an electrodiagnostic study performed at Saint Francis Medical Center on this man on or about the 12th of October, 2000.

Once again, in recounting the events that occurred to him, he claimed that in 1997 he was slammed into the backboard of a bed and still had pain and numbness in his left leg. There was some

notation in the objective findings of a decreased lumber flexion, that's in the lower back, of course, and an apparent radiculopathy (nerve reactions) through his left leg which is also consistent with a lower back trauma.

His testimony in court was that while being assaulted in his cell he was slammed into a railing on the side of his bed as opposed to a backboard. I don't consider that a significant discrepancy. And apparently as of July of 2000 he was wearing a back brace and also complaining of knee pain that he ascribed to an accident in 1997.

However, there are, once again, considerable inaccuracies in his testimony that lead me to conclude that he's not sustained his burden of proof with regard to the injuries which he asserts. And much of this is refuted by the testimony of Officer Church.

For instance, plaintiff talks about the SOGs wearing ski masks or similar types of masks that were worn under the helmets to cover up the entire face except for eyes and mouth holes. Officer Church testified without equivocation that this is not a part of the SOG uniform and that during his tour there and throughout the lockdown he never saw SOGs

wearing masks of that kind.

Mr. Torres also testified that upon return from the gym on the 30th of July as a result of the search his cell had been completely trashed, with the contents of his property strewn all over the place and in total disarray. I'm paraphrasing.

The reports of the ombudsmen, however, who were observing this movement including the condition of the cells as a result of the search completely refute that characterization. Officer Church himself was there on duty in F Unit when the inmates returned at approximately one AM, early in the morning of July 31st. He testified that the cells had not been trashed in this fashion; thereby severely impacting Mr. Torres' credibility.

Third, plaintiff talks about the SOGs having delivered lunches on the 31st of July and then returning to beat him up when they detected perhaps some kind of an attitude by this "Spic", to quote him. The evidence in this case is unequivocal and frankly unassailable that the SOG officers did not deliver the lunches during the lockdown. The BSP officers did in groups usually of three including a supervisory sergeant, not geared up into the sort of riot gear that the SOGs wore on a permanent basis.

I note specifically that no SOG unit was logged into F Unit on July 31st. These records are not infallible, but I have yet to see a situation where if a SOG team was called in for one reason or another, notations in the log for that unit would not have reflected it. And as I mentioned already, they did not deliver the lunches. Accordingly, I reach a determination that they were not there on the 31st of July and, therefore, did not administer any punishment to him on that occasion.

I note also Officer Church's testimony, and this is really beyond refutation, that it's a two-step process even to gain access to cells and that the first of those steps requires the housing officer at the podium to open what are called the snap locks on the tier after which keys are issued to an officer to go down and open a particular cell.

Under those circumstances I additionally find it not credible that SOG officers on the 31st of July would have gone down to his cell, opened it up and beaten him on that occasion.

Finally, Mr. Torres has acknowledged that he got into a fight in December of 1997, a fight which actually got him transferred out of Bayside State Prison in January of 1998. Given the

considerable doubt with regard to the accuracy of his testimony and the other particulars that I've mentioned here, it's entirely consistent that the injuries of which he complains could well have come from that fight. He, of course, did not say so, but under all the circumstances, that is not an inappropriate inference and could well explain the continuing difficulties with his back and knee which is demonstrated in this record.

Accordingly, under all of the circumstances here, I find that Mr. Torres, although relatively consistent in his testimony from the year 2000 and also at this point, 2009, has just asserted too many things that are not credible and in some cases impossible.

I find he's not sustained his burden of establishing his cause of action here.

Finally, although not every item of evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, all evidence presented to the Special Master was reviewed and considered.

For the reason set forth above, I recommend in this report that the district court enter an order and judgment of no cause for action with regard to Edwin Torres.

Counsel that concludes proceedings as far as I'm concerned for today. Anything further before we adjourn? Mr. Lindsay? MR. LINDSAY: No, sir. JUDGE BISSELL: Defense counsel? MR. LOZIER: No, sir. JUDGE BISSELL: All right. Thank you. MR. ROSELLI: See you tomorrow. (Hearing Adjourned)

CERTIFICATE

I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement of the examination,

Edwin Torres,

was duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my ability.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

heresa Q. Mastroianni, C.S.R.

Theresa Q. Mastroianni, C.S.R. Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010

Certificate No. XIO857 Date: April 16, 2009